

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY 600 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001-2651 AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION	2. EFFECTIVE C	ATF			
Amendment 001	(Same as block 17)				
3. ISSUED BY PURCHASING SECTION Office of Procurement and Materials Wondem Asres	4. ADMINISTERI	STERED BY (If other than block 3)			
5. CONTRACTOR		6. FORM TYPE			
NAME AND ADDRESS (Street, city,		(Check only one) #ENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. CO18106 RISK NAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (RMIS) OUESTIONS RECEIVED FROM VENDORS AND			
county, state, and Zip Code)		MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
		DATE (See block 9)			
RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If,	by virtue of this ame am makes reference				
(a) This Change Order is issued pursuant The Changes set forth in block 10 (b The above numbered contract/ord data, etc.) set forth in block 10. (c) This Supplemental Agreement is it modifies the above numbered contract.	are made to the abover is modified to reflee entered into pursuant	ct the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation to authority of			
10. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT	MODIFICATION				
WMATA's response per Appendix 1		corporating the questions received from vendors and			
Except as provided herein, all terms and co	nditions of the document refe	erenced in block 6, as heretofore changed, remain unchanged and in full force and effect.			
11. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS REQUIF MODIFICATION AND RETURN 1 ISSUING OFFICE.	RED TO SIGN THIS	CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS NOT REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT			
12. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFICE		15. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY			
BY		BY YCOLONYO OK			
(Signature of person authorized to s 13. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	14. DATE SIGNED	(Signature of Contracting Officer) 16. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICE R (you or print) 17. DATE SIGNED			
		Ratonya Offer 2/20/18			

Appendix 1

CQ18106 RISK MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (RMIS) QUESTIONS RECEIVED FROM VENDORS AND WMATA'S RESPONSE

One item that we need to clarify if Evaluation Criteria 5.1.1. – Ease of use of software per user experience
during test period detailed in 4.7 Test Period. 4.7 states: "Vendors should allow for a three week test period
during which WMATA will be able to ascertain the ability of the system to satisfy the requirements contained
herein and effectively interface with WMATA's internal systems. Prior to testing, WMATA expects sample data
to be uploaded into the vendor system (Non-Disclosure Agreement required)."

Our demonstration environment contains sample data for all the elements WMATA wishes to use. As such, we do not load prospective customer data or create interfaces to our standard demo environment. We have made exceptions in the past however, fees for partial implementation applied. Understanding that this is WMATA's #1 evaluation criteria, can you please let us know if this will remove us from consideration?

WMATA's Response: We will waive the requirement to test RMIS vendors' systems with WMATA data and use the vendor-provided sample data.

2. Can you please provide the 'WMATA RMIS SOW Appendix IT EA Requirements Matrix' spreadsheet. It doesn't appear to be included with Appendix B. Additionally, we cannot open the spreadsheet named 'WMATA RMIS SOW Appendic IT TechSpec' found at a picture on Appendix B.

WMATA's Response: Yes. A new Appendix E is being provided that details the IT EA requirements.

3. Section 4.7.1 Test Period - Is this test period after a vendor is selected and a contract is signed or is the request for each vendor providing proposal to conduct this process? To allow for proper testing of interfaces would require configurations to support where WMATA wants the data as well as interface designs which we respectfully believe would be cost prohibitive effort for vendors during the proposal phase. Can you please confirm intent/timing of this requirement and whether it can potentially be removed?

WMATA's Response: The test period is during the evaluation phase of the process, prior to award. Vendors' ability to interface with various WMATA systems shall be determined by vendors' responses in their written proposals and subsequent interactions during the evaluation phase.

4. Data Migration - Can you please confirm whether there is any data to convert to the selected solution other than that of the current TPA. Are there any spreadsheets or databases which historical data will be converted from?

WMATA's Response: There is no other data that needs converting from any other source or sources. There are no spreadsheets or other databases that have any historical data.

5. Are there any claims handled in house or does the TPA handle all lines?

WMATA's Response: All claims other than workers' compensation are handled in-house.

6. Can you please list all incident and claim types that you intend to use the selected system for?

WMATA's Response: The RMIS is intended to handle all incidents/claim types, but primarily workers' comp and third party liability (general and auto liability). Other lines include: property, including fine arts, terrorism and NBCR (Nuclear, Biological, Chemical and Radiological); employment practices liability; crime; railroad protective liability; directors and officers; pollution; fiduciary liability; cyber risk; and medical facilities liability.

7. Do you need State EDI (FROI/SROI) or CMS-111 functionality?

WMATA's Response: We will not need State EDI (the FROI will be completed by the WC TPA vendor, who has the responsibility to interface with the jurisdictions via EDI). We definitely need CMS-111 functionality.